# GILBERTSVILLE- MOUNT UPTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT



# ANNUAL PROFESSIONAL PERFORMANCE REVIEW PLAN 2020-2021

This APPR plan will be approved by the Gilbertsville-Mount Upton School Board of Education by September 16<sup>th</sup>, 2020, filed in the District Office and made available on the District's website no later than September 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2020.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS:

District Information	3
Part I: Introduction	
District Mission and Vision Statements	4
Part II: Standards and Rubrics	
Standards and Rubric Identified	5
Indicators for Observations	6
Composite Scoring Matrix	7
Part III: Mechanics of the Plan	
Definition of Covered Teachers	8
Teacher of Record	8
Ensuring Accurate Teacher and Student Data	8
Reporting Individual Subcomponents	8
Security and Scoring	9
Details of the Evaluation System	9
Training Provided to Evaluators and Staff	10
Student Growth Measures	11
Teacher Observation Measures	11
Teacher Improvement Plan	13
GMU Appeals Process for Teachers	14
Part IV: Appendix	
List of Appendixes	16

# DISTRICT INFORMATION:

**DISTRICT NAME:** Gilbertsville Mount Upton Central School District

**BEDS CODE:** 47020204000

**SUPERINTENDENT:** Annette D. Hammond

#### **CONTACT INFORMATION:**

693 State Highway 51 Gilbertsville, NY 13776

Phone: (607) 783 2207 Fax: (607) 783 2254

Email: ahammond@gmucsd.org

**PLAN DATES: 2020 2021** 

## PART I:

#### **INTRODUCTION**

This APPR plan for the Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Central School District was developed by a team of teachers and administrators who volunteered and were appointed by the Superintendent. The plan will be approved by SED under Education Law §3012-d and by the Gilbertsville-Mt. Upton Board of Education by September 16<sup>th</sup> of the year and made available on the District's Website no later than September 23<sup>rd</sup> of the year. This plan will be in effect for the 2020-2021 school year. The LINKS team in the district will utilize this plan in association with all other plans to develop district goals that will drive decisions for professional development as well as future decisions made by this committee.

Under Education Law §3012-d, a performance evaluation system for classroom teachers and building principals uses a comprehensive evaluation system designed to measure teacher and principal effectiveness based on performance which includes student achievement and evidence of educator effectiveness in meeting New York State teacher or school leader standards. The new system established by section 3012-d builds on and does not eliminate New York's prior APPR process set forth in §100.2(o) of the Commissioner's regulations.

Under the new law, New York State will differentiate teacher and principal effectiveness using four rating categories—Highly Effective, Effective, Developing and Ineffective (HEDI scores). Education Law §3012-d requires annual professional performance reviews to result in a single composite teacher or principal effectiveness score. This score incorporates multiple measures of effectiveness. The results of the evaluation shall be a significant factor in employment decisions as well as teacher and principal professional development.

#### **District Mission Statement, 2019**

At Gilbertsville-Mt. Upton Central School District, we use a trauma-sensitive approach to cultivate confident individuals with the desire to take risks, experience new opportunities, and to recognize the potential within themselves. We are a student-centered learning community that values: A Growth Mindset, Essential Skills for Career Readiness, Social-Emotional Wellness, Resilience, Critical Thinking, High Expectations, and Meaningful Relationships.

#### **District Vision Statement, 2019**

To provide an inclusive learning community which fosters lifelong personal and academic growth.

# PART II:

#### STANDARDS AND RUBRIC

The GMUCSD APPR Committee has approved the 2014 Revision of the NYSUT Teacher Practice Rubric. This rubric for Professional Practice includes the following seven standards modeled after the New York State Teaching Standards:

- 1: Knowledge of Students and Student Learning,
- 2: Knowledge of Content and Instructional Planning,
- 3: Instructional Practice,
- 4: Learning Environment,
- 5: Assessment for Student Learning,
- 6: Professional Responsibilities and Collaboration, and
- 7: Professional Growth Planning.

A teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) will continue to be based on the two-category matrix system that includes student performance and teacher observation. The NYSUT Teacher Practice Rubric contains four levels of performance ratings which align with the four (4) rating categories developed by the New York State Education Department: Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, and Ineffective.

The NYSUT Rubric and New York State Teaching Standards are located on the school's website in the staff section and can also be accessed on internet at:

2014 NYSUT Rubric: http://www.nysut.org/resources/all-listing/2012/september/ nysut-teacher-practice-rubric#2014

NYS Teacher Standards: https://www.engageny.org/resource/new-york-state-teaching-standards

# INDICATORS OF OBSERVATIONS:

The APPR committee has chosen the following indicators:

#### **Standard III Instructional Practice**

Indicator 1. Teachers use research-based practices and evidence of student learning to provide developmentally appropriate and standards-driven instruction that motivates and engages students in learning

#### B. Engages Students

Indicator 2. Teachers communicate clearly and accurately with students to maximize their understanding and learning

#### A. Provides directions and Procedures

Indicator 6. Teachers monitor and assess student progress, seek and pro- vide feedback, and adapt instruction to student needs

- A. Uses formative assessment to monitor and adjust pacing
- B. Provides feedback during and after instruction

#### Standard IV Learning Environment

Indicator 1. Teachers create a mutually respectful, safe, and supportive learning environment that is inclusive of every student

#### A. Interactions with students

Indicator 2. Teachers create an intellectually challenging and stimulating learning environment

#### A. Promotes student pride in work and accomplishments

Indicator 3. Teachers manage the learning environment for the effective operation of the classroom

A. Establishes routines/procedures/transitions and expectations for student behavior

Indicator 4. Teachers organize and utilize available resources to create a safe and productive learning environment

A. Organizes learning environment

#### Standard V Assessment for Student Learning

Indicator 2. Teachers understand, analyze, interpret, and use assessment data to monitor student progress and to plan and differentiate instruction

B. Engages students in self-assessment

Indicator 5. Teachers prepare students to understand the format and directions of assessments used and the criteria by which the students will be evaluated

B. Provides preparation and practice

# COMPOSITE SCORING MATRIX:

The composite score will be a combination of the weighted observation scores and the student growth score. The student growth scores will be assigned after the NYS 3-8 test scores and Regents scores are released each year. Points will be assigned based on the Student Learning Objective charts in Appendix E. After each portion of the composite score is determined, the rubric below will be used to determine a teacher's composite score.

			TEACHER OBS	SERVATION	
		Highly Effective	Effective	Developing	Ineffective
STUDENT	Highly Effective	Н	Н	Е	D
PERFOR- MANCE	Effective	Н	Е	Е	D
	Developing	Е	Е	D	I
	Ineffective	D	D	I	I

# PART III:

#### MECHANICS OF THE PLAN

#### 1) Definition of Covered Teachers

This APPR plan will apply to all teachers in all subjects and grade levels for the 2020-2021 school year.

#### 2) Teacher of Record

For each school year, the teacher of record is the teacher or teachers primarily and directly responsible for a student's learning activities that are aligned to support the NYS Standards. The teacher of record will be determined by administration and data coordinator and verified by each teacher.

#### 3) Ensuring Accurate Teacher and Student Data

The District shall provide accurate data to the State Education Department (the "SED") in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.

The District shall also provide an opportunity for every covered teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to him/her. The district's Superintendent shall be designated as the Data Coordinator who shall be in charge of collecting the required data, overseeing changes in and maintenance of the local data management systems, and ensuring the accuracy of the data. The Data Coordinator shall have the authority to assign tasks and deadlines, as required. The Data Coordinator will review all assignments of teacher of record and any disagreements related to data reported.

#### 4) Reporting Individual Subcomponent Scores

The Data Coordinator shall be responsible for reporting to the SED the individual subcomponent scores and the total composite effectiveness score for each covered classroom teacher and building principal in the District and shall do so in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.

#### 5) Security and Scoring of Assessments

The Data Coordinator shall be responsible for overseeing the assessment security, and scoring processes utilized by the District under this APPR Plan, and shall take steps to ensure that any assessments and/or measures used to evaluate teachers and principals are not disseminated to students before administration, and that teachers and principals do not have a vested interest in the out-come of the assessments they score. Assessments will ensure rigor and will be comparable to the Common Core Standards. All assessments will be kept secure.

#### 6) Details of the District's Evaluation System

The following are the details of the District's Chapter 103 evaluation system. The details must be determined through collective negotiations with the bargaining agent of the covered teachers, and such negotiations will be ongoing and reviewed on a yearly basis adopted by the board of education before September 16<sup>th</sup> and placed on our website before September 23<sup>rd</sup>.

#### Training for lead evaluators will include the following required topics:

- Any evaluator who participates in the evaluation of teachers or principals for the purpose of determining an APPR rating shall be fully trained and/or certified as required by Education Law 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents prior to conducting a teacher evaluation.
- The "lead evaluator" is the administrator who is primarily responsible for a teacher's evaluation. The term "evaluator" shall include any administrator who conducts an observation or evaluation of a teacher.
- All evaluators (including independent evaluators) shall successfully complete a training course that meets the minimum requirements prescribed in Regents rules Section 30-3.10 of the regulations thereunder. Such training shall include application and use of the State-approved teacher practice rubric(s) selected by the District for use in evaluations (NYSUT 2014 Teacher Practice Rubric).
- Once an evaluator has successfully completed a training course (NYSUT initial training 40 hours) meeting the minimum requirements prescribed in the law and regulations, he/she shall be deemed to be certified by the District as a lead evaluator. After initial calibration, re-calibration requires two days training from NYSUT trainers annually.
- All professional staff subject to the district's APPR will be provided with an orientation and/or training on the evaluation system that will include: a review of the content and use of the evaluation system, the NYS Teaching Standards (teachers) or PSEL Standards (principal), forms and the procedures to be followed consistent with the approved APPR plan and associated contractual provisions.
- All training for current staff will be conducted prior to the implementation of the APPR process. Training will be conducted within 30 calendar days of the beginning of each subsequent school year for newly hired staff.
- Teacher training will include rubric-specific training provided by NYSUT and evidence-based evaluation methods training provided by DCMO BOCES. Representatives from the Teachers Association and the District will jointly conduct additional/turnkey training for teachers. Principal training will include rubric-specific training provided by NYSUT.

#### **Student Growth Measures**:

• For the 2020-2021 school year, student growth measures will be based on historical data (the average of the assigned exam proficient percentage for the last three-four years of available data) from specified test for each grade level grouping with the exception of High School teachers with a course ending in a Regents exam. Therefore, PK through 4<sup>th</sup> grade teachers' scores will be based on the 4<sup>th</sup> grade NYS Science scores; 5-8<sup>th</sup> grade teachers' scores will be based on the 8th grade NYS Science scores. For the length of this plan, 9-12<sup>th</sup> grade teachers without Regents exam will be based on the Living Environment Regents exam and High School teachers with a course which ends in a Regents exam will have their score based on the historical data of that course. The point conversion will be turned into a HEDI score based on the following chart.

Highly E	Effectiv	e	Eff	fectiv	e		Develo	ping	Ineffec	tive											
20	19	18	1	.7	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97- 100%	93- 96%	90- 92%		5- 1996	80- 84%	75- 79%	67- 74%	60- 66%	55- 59%	49- 54%	44- 48%	39- 43%	34- 38%	29- 33%	25- 28%	21- 24%	17- 20%	13- 16%	9- 12%	5- 8%	0- 4%

#### Teacher Observation Measure:

• Observation Rubric: The State Education Department approved practice rubrics that will be used for the evaluation of teachers will be the 2014 Revision of the NYSUT Rubric. This rubric is available in print in each Faculty Room and online at: http://www.nysut.org/resources/all-listing/2012/september/nysut-teacher-practice-rubric#2014.

- All teaching staff will receive two observations that will include one unannounced and one announced visit. No mechanical or electronic recording devices will be used without the consent of the teacher in advance of the observation.
  - Our <u>Unannounced Observation</u>: One unannounced observation will occur between September 15th and May 1st for all tenured teachers and by March 1st for non-tenured teachers. The unannounced observation will be scored by the average of the number of rubric indicators documented and will be weighted at 15% of the teacher performance score.
    - For this observation there will be no additional paperwork required. The observation will be scored and shared with the teacher within five business days in a post-observation conference.
  - Announced Observation: One announced observation will occur between September 15th and May 1st for all tenured teachers and by March 1st for non-tenured teachers. The announced observation will be scored by the average of the number of rubric indicators documented and will be weighted at 85% of the teacher performance score.
    - The announced observation will be scheduled at least two weeks prior to the observation unless agreed upon by the teacher and observer. The observer and teacher may meet to discuss the lesson in person prior to the observation. This pre-observation meeting is required for any non-tenured teacher or teacher with a Teacher Improvement Plan in place.
    - A GMU APPR Announced Lesson Plan (Appendix B) will be completed by the teacher and turned in to the observer at least 48 hours prior to the observation.
    - A post-observation conference will then be schedule between the observer and teacher within one week.
    - Scoring of the observation should be completed and shared with the teacher within a two-week period from the observation unless agreed upon by the observer and teacher at the post-observation conference.

 Teacher Performance score will be the combined weighted scores of the two observations. There will be a conversion to a HEDI score based on the following chart:

	Overall Observation Category Score and Rating				
	Minimum	Maximum			
Н	3.5	4.0			
E	2.5	3.49			
D	1.5	2.49			
Ι	0	1.49			

#### **Teacher Improvement Plans (TIPs)**:

- As per the regulations for the APPR Plan, all school districts must include provisions for the development of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP). Teachers rated as developing or ineffective, must have a TIP. The development of a Teacher Improvement Plan regarding any teacher should be collaborative between the teacher, administration and the association representative.
- A TIP should maintain the supportive climate inherent in the supportive supervision process for as long as is feasible to foster growth. The district will cover all costs associated with the implementation of the TIP Plan that are approved by the district. This would include conference fees, class fees, books, travel, housing or any other fees directly incurred by the TIP.
- The TIP will include the following:
  - o Identification of the specific areas in need of improvement
  - o A timeline for achieving improvement
  - o The manner in which the improvement will be assessed
  - o Differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement plan
- Notwithstanding the language in the 3012-d regulations and guidance, the TIP process should not change without the District and Association bargaining any changes.
- TIPs Timeline for development and monitoring
  - In the event a teacher's performance is found to be developing or ineffective, the teacher shall be given written notice to such effect within ten (10) school days of the post-observation meeting or

composite rating determination.

- Within five (5) school days of the receipt of the written notice, a meeting will be set up between the teacher, administrator, and Association Representation. The purpose of the meeting is to develop specific recommendations that, if satisfactorily implemented by the teacher, should lead to continuous professional improvement. The improvement plan "shall be developed by the superintendent or his or her designee in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment (Section 3012-d). All members participating in the conference can provide input.
- o The administrator will observe the teacher and review the TIP until deficiencies are corrected. Revisions to the plan can be discussed at any meeting after an observation. The administrator will provide written feedback and specific suggestions after each set of formal observations as indicated in the APPR Plan.
- o The TIP will be signed and dated by the teacher and the evaluator after each review.
- If it is determined that the teacher is not able to meet the District's professional standards, the administrator will share his/her recommendation with the staff member, the Superintendent and the Association President.
- The TIP process is to be kept confidential among the members of the improvement team. The effort is a cooperative commitment to professional growth and development.

#### **GMU Appeals Process for APPR for Teachers:**

Section 3012-d of the Education Law establishes a comprehensive annual evaluation system for classroom teachers.

To the extent that a teacher wishes to challenge the annual performance review and/or improvement plan under the new evaluation system, the law requires the establishment of an appeals procedure, the specifics of which are to be locally negotiated pursuant to article XIV of the Civil Service Law.

• Appeals of Ineffective Ratings For Tenured Teachers
Appeals of annual professional performance reviews will be limited to all
teachers that received a rating of ineffective only. Additional procedures may be
appropriate where compensation decisions are linked to rating categories.

- What may be challenged in an appeal
   Appeal procedures will limit the scope of appeals under Education Law §3012-d to the following subjects:
  - o The school district's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d
  - The adherence to the Commissioner's regulations, as applicable to such reviews
  - Compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures applicable to annual professional performance reviews or improvement plans
  - The school district's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan under Education Law §3012-d.
  - The substance of the APPR which includes: Where a teacher is rated Ineffective on the student performance category but rated Highly Effective on the observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally.

#### • Prohibition against more than one appeal

o A teacher may not file multiple appeals regarding the same performance review or teacher improvement plan. All grounds for appeal must be raised with specificity within one appeal. Any grounds not raised at the time the appeal is filed shall be deemed waived.

# PART IV:

# **Appendixes**

2020-2021 Indicators and Examples of Evidence	A1-5
Announced Lesson Plan withStandard/ Indicator Connections and Evidence Examples	B1-2
Observation Discussion and Documentation	C1-3
District Student Learning Objectives	D1-3
Student Learning Objectives Point Conversion Charts	E1-4
Teacher Improvement Plan	F1-3

Standard	Indicator	Highly Effective	Examples of indicator
III. Instructional Pra	actice		
1 Teachers use research- based practices and evidence of student learning to provide developmentally appropriate and standards-driven instruction that motivates and engages students in learning	B Engages students	Teacher's instructional practices engage students at an appropriately high level of cognitive challenge. Students have regular and ongoing opportunities to interact with the teacher and with peers.  Students initiate interactions to deepen cognitive engagement.	think, pair, sharestudents answer teacher questionsstudents ask teacher questionsstudents ask peer questionsstudents take initiative to ask questions/ comment on topicstudents involved in classroom discussionstudents are working when independent or group activitiesagreement with class discussion/activitiessign languageactive listening skillseffective questioning—higher level
2 Teachers communicate clearly and accurately with students to maximize their understanding and learning	A Provides directions and procedures	Teacher directions and procedures are clear, complete, and anticipate possible student misunderstanding. Teacher adjusts explanations to meet the needs of individual students.	bell ringeragendaexit ticketassignment board/foldersturn in/return bins/foldersstandard document pick up at beginning of classclassroom supplies available without difficultyjob boardclassroom rules postedexpectations (group discussions, active listening) posted"listen to teacher" signal in classsign language signals for RR, pencil

6 Teachers monitor and assess student progress, seek and provide feedback, and adapt instruction to student needs	A Uses formative assessment to monitor and adjust pacing  B Provides feedback during and after instruction	Teacher always uses a variety of formative assessments to monitor the progress of individual students. Teacher uses student progress to immediately adjust the pace, focus, or delivery of instruction. Students self-assess progress and suggest adjustments to instruction.  Teacher's feedback to students is timely, frequent, and relevant. Feedback consistently advances student learning. Students use the feedback to advance their own learning.	sharpener, tissue bell ringerexit ticketsjournalsstudent reflectionswhiteboard work and showfist to 5thumbs up/down/neutralthink, pair, sharestudents called on and volunteer to answer good job statementsclass or group "pat on the back"student work displayedage/grade appropriate student data graphs displayed (mad minutes; sight words, lab minutes)"looks like we're all ready to move on""Ok, I can see from my quick check that we will need a little more practice before we move on"
IV: Learning Envi	ronment		
1 Teachers create a mutually respectful, safe, and supportive learning environment that is inclusive of every student	A Interactions with students.	Teacher-student interactions reflect genuine respect, caring, and cultural understanding for individual students, as well as groups of students.	good job statementsclass or group "pat on the back"student work displayed"looks like we're all ready to move on""Ok, I can see from my quick check

		Teacher creates a supportive learning environment where all students feel accepted and free to take learning risks.	that we will need a little more practice before we move on"think, pair, sharestudents answer teacher questionsstudents ask teacher questionsstudents ask peer questionsstudents take initiative to ask questions/ comment on topicstudents involved in classroom discussionstudents are working when independent or group activitiesagreement with class discussion/activities
2 Teachers create an intellectually challenging and stimulating learning environment	A Promotes student pride in work and accomplishments.	Teacher consistently promotes a sense of pride in student work or accomplishment, creates an environment where all students are expected to express their ideas, take initiative and have high expectations and pride for their own learning and achievement. Students monitor their own progress as they strive to meet challenging learning goals.	good job statementsclass or group "pat on the back"student work displayedage/grade appropriate student data graphs displayed (mad minutes; sight words, lab minutes)"looks like we're all ready to move on"shares expectationsshares exceptional work
3 Teachers manage the	A Establishes	The teacher and students	bell ringer
learning environment for	routines/	have established seamless	agenda
the effective operation of the	procedures/transitions	routines/ procedures/	exit ticket

classroom	and expectations for student behavior.	transitions and standards of conduct. They are clear to all students and require no prompting. Students assume responsibility in reinforcing routines and standards of conduct, and in ensuring their efficient operation.	assignment board/foldersturn in/return bins/foldersstandard document pick up at beginning of classclassroom supplies available without difficultygroupings of studentsjob boardclassroom rules postedexpectations (group discussions, active listening) posted"listen to teacher" signal in class
4 Teachers organize and utilize available resources (e.g. physical space, time, people, technology) to create a safe and productive learning environment	A Organizes learning environment.	Teacher has modified and organized the learning environment to accommodate all student learning needs. Available resources are accessible to all students.  Teacher supports students in adjusting the resources to advance their learning.	resources are available (copies, scissors, papers, crayons)share text if not availablelaptop cart when neededtechnology is working but back-ups can be available or in usestudents may have alternate text, worksheet, schedule availablestudents are seated for hearing or vision needs
V: Assessment for	Student Learnin	ng	
2 Teachers understand, analyze, interpret, and use assessment data to monitor student progress and to plan and differentiate instruction	B Engages students in self-assessment.	Teacher regularly engages students in self-assessment of their learning goals, strategies, and outcomes and suggests next steps for achieving the learning goals.	grade, self-rubricpeer editingbell ringer or exit ticket determines objective successwhite board group answersfist to fivethumbs up, neutral, down

# 2020-2021 Indicators and Examples of Evidence

# Gilbertsville-Mount Upton CSD Annual Professional Performance Review ANNOUNCED LESSON Plan With Standard /Indicator Connections and Evidence

**Examples** 

Standard/Indicators that can be addressed	Examples of Evidence
3.1.B 4.4.A 5.5.B	Agenda Student Objective
3.6.A	Attach IEP Checklist of instructional/testing accommodations for CSE students IEP at a Glance Student descriptions
3.2.A 3.6.A 4.2.A 4.3.A 5.5.B	Agenda Student Objective Bell Ringer Exit Ticket Fist to 5 Thumbs up/down/neutral White board work/answer show Expectations posted Shared rubric
3.6.A 3.6.B 4.1.A 4.2.A 4.3.A 5.2.B 5.5.B	Bell Ringer Exit Ticket Student response journals Student reflections Think/pair/share Sign language Assignment Board/Folders
3.1.B 3.2.A 3.6.A 3.6.B 4.1.A 4.2.A 4.3.A 4.4.A 5.2.B 5.5.B	Student led questions Active listening White board work/answer show Closure Gradual Release Student response journals Student reflections Think/pair/share Problem Solving Strategies Gallery Walk Note formats
	3.1.B 4.4.A 5.5.B 3.6.A 3.6.A 4.2.A 4.3.A 5.5.B 3.6.B 4.1.A 4.2.A 4.3.A 5.2.B 5.5.B 3.1.B 3.2.A 3.6.A 3.6.A 4.2.A 4.3.A 5.2.B 5.5.B

		Whole class share-out
Adjustments/Modifications	3.1.B	Problem Solving
		Strategies
(Identify ways in which you may	3.2.A	Note-taking formats
adjust the lesson if formative	3.6.A	Mutual Respect
assessments warrant	3.6.B	Class share-out
modification)	4.1.A	<b>Expectations posted</b>
	4.3.A	Peer editing
	4.4.A	Student agreement
	5.2.B	Sign Language
		Turn in bins
		Job Board
		Checklist of instructional/testing
		accommodations for CSE students
Groups (How will students be grouped for each activity of the lesson)	3.6.A 4.3.A 4.4.A 5.2.B	Student groupings explanation Uses support staff to work with groups
Resources	3.1.B	Standards
(Identify resources and materials	3.6.A	Research based practices
needed for lesson)	4.4.A	Assessments/rubrics,
,	5.5.B	Work blanks
		Child study/CSE information
		Best practices
		<b>BOCES Conference Reflections</b>
		Checklist of instructional/testing
		accommodations for CSE students
		Informal research-book club, articles, websites

# Observation Discussion Documentation

Teacher:	Evaluator:
Scheduled Date:	Time:
GMUCSD Standards and Elements:	
3.1.BEngages Students	
3.2.A—Provides directions and procedures	
3.6.A—Uses formative assessment to monitor an	nd adjust pacing
3.6.B—Provides feedback during and after instru	uction 4.1.A—
Interactions with students	
4.2.A—Promotes student pride in work and acco	omplishments
4.3.AEstablishes routines/procedure/transition	as and expectations for student behavior
4.4.A—Organizes learning environment	•
5.2. B—Engages students in self-assessment	
5.5.B—Provides preparation and practice	
<b>Pre-Observation Conference</b>	
Date:	Time:
What best practices will you be using in your les	eson?

What strengths and weaknesses do you bring to this lesson? What would you like observed during this observation?

<b>Post-Observation Conference</b>		
Date:	Time:	

A preliminary rating should be provided at the conference; if there is additional information available it can be incorporated from this lesson discussion.

Rating	Standard/Indicator	Rating	Standard/Indicator
	3.1.B Engages Students		3.2.A Provides directions and procedures
	3.6.A Uses formative assessment to monitor and adjust pacing		3.6.B Provides feedback during and after instruction
	4.1.A Interactions with students		4.2.A Promotes student pride in work and accomplishments
	4.3.A Establishes routines/ procedures/transitions and expectations for student behavior		4.4.A Organizes learning environment
	5.2.B Engages students in self-assessment		5.5.B Provides preparation and practice

#### **Discussion Questions**

What assessments were used and how did your students meet your expectations for this lesson? (3.6.A, 3.6.B, 5.2.B)

How did students demonstrate their engagement during your lesson? (3.1.B)

How was the pace of the lesson modified? (3.6.A)

What student cues led you to modify the pacing? (5.2.B)

Are there	any positive	outcomes wil	1 you share	with others?	$(42\Delta)$
Are mere	any bosinye	outcomes wi	i vou siiaic	with others.	(4.4.7)

Are there any instructional goals you would like to support with professional development (peer classroom visits; BOCES presentations; research; book groups)?

Final Rating:	
Average of 10 indicators (numeric valu	e):

Teacher Signature:	Date:	
•	_	·

Administrator Signature:	Date:	

Combination of Announced and Unannounced Observations:

Average of Unannounced:\_\_\_\_\_X 0.15 = \_\_\_\_\_

Average of Announced: X 0.85 =

Sum of two scores = \_\_\_\_ Conversion score: \_\_\_\_

	Overall Observation Category Score and Rating				
	Minimum Maximum				
Н	3.5	4.0			
Е	2.5	3.49			
D	1.5	2.49			
Ι	0	1.49			

Teachers with Regents or Science Exams—

- 4<sup>th</sup> Science, 8<sup>th</sup> Science, Algebra I, Algebra II, Geometry, Living Environment, Earth Science, Chemistry, Physics,
   Global, US History, 11<sup>th</sup> ELA
- o Primary—Must use these tests and apply to historical grades and maintenance of that average
- o Back up—Group SLO

Teachers without Regents or Science Exams—

- o PK-8 non-science teachers, 9-12 no regents test for exit
- o Use Science test, apply to historical grades and maintenance of that average

<sup>\*\*</sup>Below, the word "historically" references the average percent of students reaching proficiency over the school years 16-17 through 18-19. There was no available testing data for 19-20 due to the COVID-19 pandemic.\*\*

SLO Group	Teachers in this group	Baseline	Target	Actual Result	SLO Rating
Pk-4 (non-science 4 <sup>th</sup> ) for the 2020- 2021 school year	PK-n/a K-Hankey, Wise 1-O-Hara, Davies 2-Cimineri, Trass 3-Bennett Specials- Hughes, Norton, Johnson/Stary, Monaco, Weidman, Carrabba, Turnbull, E. Heggenstaller, Titus	Historically in this district, 85% of students score a level 3 or 4 on the 4 <sup>th</sup> grades NYS Science Exam.	At least 85% of the 4 <sup>th</sup> grade students testing in this building will meet the expectations for a level 3 or 4 on the 4 <sup>th</sup> grade NYS Science Exam. Each teacher in the PK through 4 <sup>th</sup> grades has focused on problem solving, reading comprehension, real world applications of health and safety as well as use of measuring tools which also supports the curriculum of science. Each 4 <sup>th</sup> grade student can show they have met "a year's worth of expected growth" in each of the previous years' curriculum by being proficient on the 4 <sup>th</sup> grade Science test.		
5-8 (non-science 8 <sup>th</sup> ) for the 2020- 2021school year	5-Cummings 6-Acla 7/8-Izzo, Speenburgh,	Historically in this district, 77% of students score a level 3 or 4 on the 8 <sup>th</sup> grade NYS	At least 75% of the 8 <sup>th</sup> grade students testing in this building will meet the expectations for a level 3 or 4 on the 8 <sup>th</sup> grade NYS Science Exam. Each teacher in the 5 <sup>th</sup> through 8 <sup>th</sup> grades has focused on problem solving, reading comprehension, real world applications of measuring tools, graphing and utilizing text		

	Piedmonte, Monaco, Bonczkowski, Hughes, Held, Gilchrest, Johnson/Stary, Howard, Waghorn	Science Exam.	evidence which also supports the curriculum of science. Each 8 <sup>th</sup> grade student can show they have met "a year's worth of expected growth" in each of the previous years; curriculum by being proficient on the 8 <sup>th</sup> grade Science Test.		
9-12 Without Regents Courses	Bonczkowski, Gilchrest, L. Heggenstaller, Held, Hughes, Johnson/Stary, McDowall, Monaco, Perskosky, Howard, Seigers, Stafford, Waghorn	Historically in this district, 82% of students score 65+/proficient or higher on the Living Environment Regents.	At least 80% of the students testing for the Living Environment Regents in this building will meet the expectations for proficiency.  Each teacher in the 9 <sup>th</sup> through 12 <sup>th</sup> grades has focused on problem solving, reading comprehension, use of text evidence and real-world applications of foundation skills to support the curriculum of the Living Environment. Each Living Environment student can show they have met "a year's worth of expected growth" in each of the previous years' curriculum by being proficient on the Living Environment Regents.		
Individual Test based SLO	Teachers in this Group	Baseline	Target	Actual Result	SLO Rating
4 <sup>th</sup> grade Science Teacher (2020- 2021 school year)	McMullen	Historically in this district, 85% of students score a level 3 or 4 on the 4th grade NYS Science Exam.	At least 85% of the 4 <sup>th</sup> grade students testing in this building will meet the expectations for a level 3 or 4 on the 4 <sup>th</sup> grade NYS Science Exam.  The district has determined a "years' worth of expected growth" to indicate that a 4 <sup>th</sup> grade student is capable of completing this exam with a 3 or higher and have therefore accumulated enough knowledge to progress to subsequent courses.		
8 <sup>th</sup> grade Science Teacher (2020- 2021 school year)	Woods	Historically in this district, 77% of students score a level 3 or 4 on the 8 <sup>th</sup> grade NYS Science Exam.	At least 75% of the 8 <sup>th</sup> grade students testing in this building will meet the expectations for a level 3 or 4 on the 8 <sup>th</sup> grade NYS Science Exam.  The district has determined an "a year's worth of expected growth" to indicate that an 8 <sup>th</sup> grade student is capable of completing this exam with a 3 or higher and have therefore accumulated enough knowledge to progress to subsequent courses.		

Living Environment	Rhone	Historically in this district, 82% of students score at least 65/proficient on the NYS Living Environment Regents Exam.	At least 80% of the Living Environment students testing in this building will meet the expectations for a 65+/proficient. The district has determined a "a year's worth of expected growth" to indicate that an Living Environment student is capable of completing this exam with a 65 or higher and have therefore accumulated enough knowledge to progress to subsequent courses and/or graduate.	
ELA 11	Piedmonte	Historically in this district, 87% of students score at least 65/proficient on the ELA Common Core Exam.	At least 85% of the English students testing in this building will meet the expectations for a 65+/Level 3+ proficient.  The district has determined "a year's worth of expected growth" to indicate that an ELA student is capable of completing this exam with a 65 or higher and have therefore accumulated enough knowledge to progress to subsequent courses.	
Algebra I	Talbot	Historically in this district, 83% of students score at least 65+/score at or above level 3 on the Algebra I Common Core Exam.	At least 80% of the Algebra I students testing in this building will meet the expectations for a 65+/Level 3+ proficient. The district has determined "a year's worth of expected growth" to indicate that an Algebra student is capable of completing this exam with a 65 or higher and have therefore accumulated enough knowledge to progress to subsequent courses.	

Geometry	Izzo	Historically in this district, 62% of students score at least 65+/score at or above level 3 on the Geometry Common Core Exam.	At least 70% of the Geometry students testing in this building will meet the expectations for a 65+/Level 3+ proficient. The district has determined "a year's worth of expected growth" to indicate that a Geometry student is capable of completing this exam with a 65 or higher and have therefore accumulated enough knowledge to progress to subsequent courses and/or graduate.	
Algebra II	Talbot	Historically in this district, 89% of students score at least 65+ proficient on the NYS Algebra 2 Regents Exam.	At least 85% of the Algebra 2 students testing in this building will meet the expectations for a 65+ proficient.  The district has determined "a year's worth of expected growth" to indicate that an Algebra 2/Trigonometry student is capable of completing this exam with a 65 or higher and have therefore accumulated enough knowledge to progress to subsequent courses and/or graduate.	
Global History & Geography	Connell	Historically in this district, 64% of students score at least 65+ proficient on the NYS Global History & Geography Regents Exam.	At least 70% of the Global History & Geography students testing in this building will meet the expectations for a 65+ proficient.  The district has determined "a year's worth of expected growth" to indicate that an Global History & Geography student is capable of completing this exam with a 65 or higher and have therefore accumulated enough knowledge to progress to subsequent courses.	
US History & Government	Speenburgh	Historically in this district, 87% of students score at least 65+ proficient on the	At least 85% of the US History & Government students testing in this building will meet the expectations for a 65+ proficient. The district has determined "a year's worth of expected growth" to indicate that an US History & Government student is capable of completing this exam with a 65 or higher and	

		NYS US History & Government Regents Exam.	have therefore accumulated enough knowledge to progress to subsequent courses.	
Physical Setting/Earth Science	Woods	Historically in this district, 87% of students score at least 65+ proficient on the NYS Physical Setting/Earth Science Regents Exam.	At least 85% of the Physical Setting/Earth Science students testing in this building will meet the expectations for a 65+ proficient.  The district has determined "a year's worth of expected growth" to indicate that an Physical Setting/Earth Science student is capable of completing this exam with a 65 or higher and have therefore accumulated enough knowledge to progress to subsequent courses and/or graduate.	
Physical Setting/Chemistry	Rhone	Historically in this district, 77% of students score at least 65+ proficient on the NYS Physical Setting/ Chemistry Regents Exam.	At least 75% of the Physical Setting/ Chemistry students testing in this building will meet the expectations for a 65+ proficient. The district has determined "a year's worth of expected growth" to indicate that an Physical Setting/Earth Science student is capable of completing this exam with a 65 or higher and have therefore accumulated enough knowledge to progress to subsequent courses or graduate.	
Physical Setting/Physics	Rhone	Historically in this district, 83% of students score at least 65+ proficient on the NYS Physical Setting/ Physics Regents Exam.	At least 80% of the Physical Setting/ Physics students testing in this building will meet the expectations for a 65+ proficient. The district has determined "a year's worth of expected growth" to indicate that an Physical Setting/ Physics student is capable of completing this exam with a 65 or higher and have therefore accumulated enough knowledge to progress to subsequent courses or graduate.	

# SLO Point Conversion chart for all tests expected to show 90% or greater proficiency

**Target** to receive all possible points:

90%

#### **Percent Reaching the Target**

		SED Scoring	
Bottom		<u>Ranges</u>	HEDI points
0%	3.6%	0-4%	0
3.7%	7%	5-8%	1
7.1%	10.8%	9-12%	2
10.9%	14.4%	13-16%	3
14.5-%	18%	17-20%	4
18.1%	21.6%	21-24%	5
21.7%	25.2%	25-28%	6
25.3%	29.7%	29-33%	7
29.8%	34.2%	34-38%	8
34.2%	38.7%	39-43%	9
38.8%	43.2%	44-48%	10
43.3%	48.6%	49-54%	11
48.7%	53.1%	55-59%	12
53.2%	59.4%	60-66%	13
59.5%	66.6%	67-74%	14
66.7%	71.1%	75-79%	15
71.2%	75.6%	80-84%	16
75.7%	80.1%	85-89%	17
80.2%	82.5%	90-92%	18
<b>82.</b> 6%	86.4%	93-96%	19
86.5%	90%	97-100%	20

# SLO Point Conversion chart for all tests expected to show 85% or greater proficiency

**Target** to receive all possible points:

85%

#### **Percent Reaching the Target**

Bottom	Ranges 0-4%	<u>HEDI points</u>
201	0-4%	
0% 3.4%		0
3.5% 6.8%	5-8%	1
6.9% 10.2%	9-12%	2
10.3% 13.6%	13-16%	3
13.7%	17-20%	4
17.1% 20.4%	21-24%	5
20.5% 23.8%	25-28%	6
23.9% 28%	29-33%	7
28.1% 32.3%	34-38%	8
32.4% 36.5%	39-43%	9
36.7% 40.8%	44-48%	10
40.9% 45.9%	49-54%	11
46% 50.1%	55-59%	12
50.2% 56.1%	60-66%	13
56.2% 62.9%	67-74%	14
63% 67.1%	75-79%	15
67.2% 71.4%	80-84%	16
71.5% 75.6%	85-89%	17
75.7% 78.2%	90-92%	18
78.3% 81.6%	93-96%	19
81.7% 85%	97-100%	20

# SLO Point Conversion chart for all tests expected to show 80% or greater proficiency

**Target** to receive all possible points:

80%

#### **Percent Reaching the Target**

		SED Scoring	
Bottom		Ranges	<b>HEDI points</b>
0%	3.2%	0-4%	0
3.3%	6.4%	5-8%	1
6.5%	9.6%	9-12%	2
9.7%	12.8%	13-16%	3
12.9%	16%	17-20%	4
16.1%	19.2%	21-24%	5
19.3%	22.4%	25-28%	6
22.5%	25.6%	29-33%	7
25.7%	28.8%	34-38%	8
28.9%	34.4%	39-43%	9
34.5%	38.4%	44-48%	10
38.5%	43.2%	49-54%	11
43.3%	47.2%	55-59%	12
47.3%	52.8%	60-66%	13
52.9%	59.2%	67-74%	14
59.3%	63.2%	75-79%	15
63.3%	67.2%	80-84%	16
67.3%	71.2%	85-89%	17
71.3%	73.6%	90-92%	18
73.7%	76.7%	93-96%	19
76.8%	80%	97-100%	20

# SLO Point Conversion chart for all tests expected to show 75% or greater proficiency

**Target** to receive all possible points:

**75%** 

#### **Percent Reaching the Target**

		SED Scoring	
Bottom		Ranges	<b>HEDI points</b>
0%	3.5%	0-4%	0
3.6%	7%	5-8%	1
7.01%	10.5%	9-12%	2
10.51%	14%	13-16%	3
14.01%	17.5%	17-20%	4
17.51%	21%	21-24%	5
21.01%	24.5%	25-28%	6
24.51%	28%	29-33%	7
28.01%	31.5%	34-38%	8
31.51%	35%	39-43%	9
35.01%	38.5%	44-48%	10
38.51%	42%	49-54%	11
42.01%	45.5%	55-59%	12
45.51%	50.5%	60-66%	13
50.51%	54%	67-74%	14
54.01%	57.5%	75-79%	15
57.51%	61%	80-84%	16
61.01%	64.5%	85-89%	17
64.51%	68%	90-92%	18
68.01%	71.5%	93-96%	19
71.51%	75%	97-100%	20

## SLO Point Conversion chart for all tests expected to show 70% or greater proficiency

**Target** to receive all possible points:

**70%** 

#### **Percent Reaching the Target**

		<b>SED Scoring</b>	
Bottom		Ranges	<b>HEDI points</b>
0%	3%	0-4%	0
3%	6%	5-8%	1
6%	8%	9-12%	2
9%	11%	13-16%	3
12%	14%	17-20%	4
<b>15%</b>	17%	21-24%	5
17%	19%	25-28%	6
20%	23%	29-33%	7
24%	26%	34-38%	8
27%	30%	39-43%	9
31%	33%	44-48%	10
34%	38%	49-54%	11
38%	41%	55-59%	12
42%	43%	60-66%	13
44%	45%	67-74%	14
46%	49%	75-79%	15
50%	54%	80-84%	16
55%	59%	85-89%	17
60%	62%	90-92%	18
<b>63</b> %	66%	93-96%	19
67%	70%	97-100%	20

# Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Central School District

Teacher Improvement Plan		
Teacher:	School Year:	
Supervisor:		

1. Identify the areas for improvement in the evaluation (Standard and Indicator(s), Growth Score).

Rating	Standard/Indicator	Rating	Standard/Indicator
	3.1.B Engages Students		3.2.A Provides directions and procedures
	3.6.A Uses formative assessment to monitor and adjust pacing		3.6.B Provides feedback during and after instruction
	4.1.A Interactions with students		4.2.A Promotes student pride in work and accomplishments
	4.3.A Establishes routines/ procedures/transitions and expectations for student behavior		4.4.A Organizes learning environment
	5.2.B Engages students in self-assessment		5.5.B Provides preparation and practice

2. List specific measurable goals for improvement(s) in the required areas.

3. Identify professional development and/or activities necessary to accomplish these goals.

4.	Identify a timeline for completion of the Teacher Improvement Plan and intermediate checkpoint meetings:				
	a.	Plan Meeting:			
	b.	Checkpoint 1:			
	c.	Check point 2:			
	d.	Completion of Plan:			
5.	What e	vidence will be used to evaluate growth and i	improvement?		
Teache	er Signat	ure	Date		
Admin	istrator S	ignature	Date		
		Meetings: Satisfactory Unsatisfactory (Please	list adjustments below)		

Checkpoint 2: Satisfactory Un	satisfactory (Please list adjustme	ents below)
Completion of Plan: Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory (Please list ad	justments below)
Teacher Signature		Date
Administrator Signature		Date